

To: Jones, Joel E.[Jones.Joel@epa.gov]; Miller, Amy[Miller.Amy@epa.gov]
From: McDaniel, Doug
Sent: Fri 2/5/2016 4:10:19 PM
Subject: RE: Independent Review Panel (IRP)

Agree. I notice there are lots of information requests throughout, maybe it's an ongoing process.

Douglas K. McDaniel

Chief, Waste and Chemical Section

Enforcement Division

U.S. EPA Region 9

(415) 947-4106

From: Jones, Joel E.
Sent: Friday, February 05, 2016 7:46 AM
To: Miller, Amy <Miller.Amy@epa.gov>; McDaniel, Doug <McDaniel.Doug@epa.gov>
Subject: Re: Independent Review Panel (IRP)

I hope this isn't the complex and final report. It lacks depth, no specifics, and is filled with bureaucratic jargon about "improving strategic planning" and "addressing community needs".

Maybe the Superfund and Permits sections are more robust.

Sent from my iPhone

On Feb 4, 2016, at 6:51 PM, Miller, Amy <Miller.Amy@epa.gov> wrote:

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Kao, Jessica" <Kao.Jessica@epa.gov>
To: "Scott, Jeff" <Scott.Jeff@epa.gov>, "Barhite, Steven" <Barhite.Steven@epa.gov>, "Johnson, Kathleen" <Johnson.Kathleen@epa.gov>, "Miller, Amy"

<Miller.Amy@epa.gov>, "Reyes, Deldi" <Reyes.Deldi@epa.gov>
Cc: "Manzanilla, Enrique" <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Independent Review Panel (IRP)

Thanks, Enrique. I've attached the IRP's initial report here and want to bring it to the attention of Land and Enforcement, as it touched on HW permitting, enforcement, & EJ, among others.

From: Manzanilla, Enrique
Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2016 4:49 PM
To: Lyons, John <Lyons.John@epa.gov>; Barton, Dana <Barton.Dana@epa.gov>; Hiett, Richard <Hiett.Richard@epa.gov>; Shaffer, Caleb <Shaffer.Caleb@epa.gov>
Cc: Minor, Dustin <Minor.Dustin@epa.gov>; Lindsay, Nancy <Lindsay.Nancy@epa.gov>; Tenley, Clancy <Tenley.Clancy@epa.gov>; Herrera, Angeles <Herrera.Angeles@epa.gov>; Kao, Jessica <Kao.Jessica@epa.gov>
Subject: FW: Independent Review Panel (IRP)

From 1/28/16 Report from IRP:

The IRP also wants to highlight for the Governor and the Legislature projected shortfalls

in the DTSC's Site Remediation Account (SRA). The DTSC currently spends

approximately \$10 million per year from the SRA for both: a) California's operations and

maintenance oversight obligations at federal Superfund National Priority List (NPL) sites

and b) California orphan site clean-ups where there is no responsible party to pay for

clean-up.

The IRP is concerned that this \$10 million annual allocation in the SRA is insufficient,

since the State's NPL obligations are projected to grow as more sites are turned over to

California to assume operations and maintenance oversight obligations. In fact, by

Fiscal Year 2020-2021, there is a concern that no SRA funds will be available for orphan

sites, even though those sites impact groundwater or release toxic vapors from underground contamination into occupied buildings:

IRP Recommendations to the Governor and Legislature on the DTSC's

2016-2017 Budget:

Support the Governor's 2016-2017 Budget Proposal for DTSC.

Increase the DTSC's SRA funding to address the projected shortfall for orphan site

cleanup and transition of federal sites to State operations and maintenance oversight.

Look at the actual report – there's a good bar chart depicting SRA trends. It didn't copy when I cut and pasted the narrative above.

Someone is paying attention.

Enrique Manzanilla

Director, Superfund Division

US EPA Region 9 - Pacific Southwest

(415) 972 3843

From: Department of Toxic Substances Control
[mailto:departmentoftoxicsubstancescontrol@dtsc.ccsend.com] **On Behalf Of**
Department of Toxic Substances Control
Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2016 4:23 PM
To: Manzanilla, Enrique <Manzanilla.Enrique@epa.gov>
Subject: Independent Review Panel (IRP)

The DTSC Independent Review Panel (IRP) will be holding its next public meeting on February 10, 2016. The agenda for the meeting was posted last week in compliance with the Bagley-Keene Act and can be obtained [here](#). An agenda in Spanish will be available from the same link prior to the meeting.

The meeting will be held at 8800 Cal Center Drive, Sacramento, CA 95826. It is scheduled to begin at 10:00 a.m. and conclude at 5:00 p.m. The meeting will include presentations by DTSC staff on Exide Technologies in Vernon, CA and DTSC's implementation of the state's Fi\$cal billing software. The site mitigation portion of the January 14 Independent Review Panel Draft Recommendations report, which was not discussed at the January meeting because of time constraints, also will be addressed during the February 10 meeting.

If you have a presentation to make under public comment and would like to share visuals with the audience, please put them on a USB flash drive and bring it to the meeting. Also please bring five printed copies for panel members and staff.

Panel Report to the Governor and the Legislature

The IRP's Initial Report to the Governor and the Legislature Pursuant to Health and Safety Code Section 57014(f) was submitted on January 28, 2016 and can be found [here](#). Please have a look!

Attention!

IRP now has its own listserve for our agendas and other activities. Notifications will no longer be sent via the DTSC's general elist subscriptions. If you would like to subscribe to the IRP's elist, click [here](#) and select the appropriate online application.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact IRP staff at erik.errec@dtsc.ca.gov.

Department of Toxic Substances Control, PO Box 806, Sacramento, CA 95812-0806
[SafeUnsubscribe™ manzanilla.enrique@epa.gov](#)

[Forward this email](#) | [Update Profile](#) | [About our service provider](#)

Sent by regs@dtsc.ca.gov in collaboration with

[Try it free today](#)

<SignedFinal.pdf>